





Working in Partnership with Shield Environmental Services

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	1



Contents

Section	
1	Contacts & Authorisation
2	Executive Summary
3	Site Description & Survey Information
4	Positive and Presumed Photos Sheets
5	Negative Photos Sheets
6	Survey Objectives
7	Survey Techniques
8	Survey Caveat
9	Survey Notes
10	Material Assessment Algorithm
11	Certificates of Bulk Analysis
12	Site Plan

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	2



Section 1: Contact Details

Client Details:		Instructing Party:		
Wessex RFCA		Shield Environmental		
Mount House		Shield House		
Mount Street Taunton TA1 3QE		Caxton Business Park Crown Way Bristol BS30 8XJ		
Contact Name:	Sue Morrell	Contact Name:	Chloe Smith	
Tel:	Fax:	Tel:	Fax:	
01823250115	N/A	01173012650	N/A	

Site Address: 2385 Melksham 33 Lancaster Road Wiltshire SN12 6SS		Report Author: Armour Analytical Services Admirals Yard Station Road Bristol BS34 6LR	
Contact Name:	Greg Mckay	Contact Name:	David Kelly
Tel: 07762602577	Fax: N/A	Mobile: 0776970)7519

Lead Surveyor's Name:	Lead Surveyor's Authorising Signature:
D. Kelly	Belly

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	3



Section 2: Executive Summary

Sample Number	Sample Type	Location	Analysis
DK/1	Toilet Cistern	Building 1, WC's X 2	N.A.D.I.S
DK/2	Sink Pad	Building 1, Kitchen	N.A.D.I.S
DK/3	Profiled Cement Roof	Building 1, Loft Space	Chrysotile
DK/4	Panels Behind Heaters And Redundant Heater Panels	Building 2, Classroom 5	N.A.D.I.S
DK/5	Panels Behind Heaters And Redundant Heater Panels	Building 2, Parade Hall	N.A.D.I.S

N.A.D.I.S – No Asbestos Detected in Sample A.D – Asbestos Detected

The following items have been Presumed to be Asbestos Containing Materials as they were not accessible at the time of the survey:

Item 6 – Building 1 - OC Office – No Access within locked room as no key was available

The presence of asbestos in these areas cannot be ascertained at this time. If any works are to be carried out in these areas in the future, then these works should be approached cautiously.

In accordance with current legislation, any suspect materials encountered in these areas are to be assumed to contain asbestos until proven otherwise. This will normally involve cessation of works in the affected area.

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	4



Section 3: Site Description & Survey Information

Brief description on the general building construction

Building 1 - Timber frame building with a pitched cement roof, over-clad with profiled metal

Building 2 - Timber frame building with a pitched metal roof.

Caveat for no access areas

No access within OC Office in Building 1 as was locked and no key was available

EXTERNAL MATERIALS	
Roof Type	Pitched
Roof Materials	Profiled Metal, Profiled Cement
Soffits	Timber
Fascia	Timber, Metal
Walls	Timber cladding
Verge Under cloaking	N/A
Guttering	Plastic
Downpipes	Plastic
Window/Door frames	Aluminium
Other Materials	N/A
INTERNAL MATERIALS	
Loft Insulation	Fiberglass
Roof Underlining	N/A
Ceilings	Plasterboard
Walls Internal	Plasterboard, Wood
Floors	Carpet, Lino, Timber
Doors/Frames	Timber
Pipework/Insulation	Copper, Foam
Boxings	Plasterboard, Timber
Boiler Make	N/A
Flue Pipes	N/A
Storage Heaters	Non asbestos Electric Heater
Electrical Boxes/Machinery	Modern
Ducting/Risers	N/A
Stairs	N/A
Lift Shafts	N/A
Other Materials	N/A

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	5



Section 4: Positive and Presumed Photos Sheets

Item No: 6

Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 1 – OC Office



Material Description:	No access within locked room			
Identification:	Presumed to Contain Asbestos			
Approx. quantity:	1 x Room			
Accessibility	Low Assessment Score			
Product type:	No Access	3		
Extent of Damage:	No Access	3		
Surface Treatment:	No Access 3			
Asbestos type:	No Access	3		
	Material Assessment Score:	12		
	Priority Assessment Score: High			
Action:	Further Investigation Required			
Comments:	No key was available on site as instructed by site contact			

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	6



Sample No: DK/3

Location: Building 1 – Loft Space



Material Description:	Profiled Cement Roof	-	
Identification:	Sampled – Asbestos Detected		
Approx. quantity:	120M2		
Accessibility	Low	Assessment Score	
Product type:	Cement	1	
Extent of Damage:	Minor	1	
Surface Treatment:	Unsealed	1	
Asbestos type:	Chrysotile	1	
	Material Assessment Score:	4	
	Priority Assessment Score: Negligible		
Action:	Manage		
Comments:	Profiled asbestos cement roof has been over-clad with profiled metal		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	7



Section 5: Negative Photos Sheets

Item No: 1

Sample No: DK/1

Location: Building 1 – WC's x 2



Material Description:	Toilet Cisterr	Toilet Cisterns		
Identification:	Sampled – No Asbestos Detected			
Approx. quantity:	2 x Cisterns	5		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score		
Product type:		N/A		
Extent of Damage:	N/A			
Surface Treatment:	N/A			
Asbestos type:	N/A			
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A		
Priority Assessment Score: N/A				
Action:	N/A			
Comments:	Plastic toilet seats			

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	8



Location: Building 1 – Kitchen



Sample No: DK/2

Material Description:	Sink Pad		
Identification:	Sampled – No Asbestos Detected		
Approx. quantity:	1 x Sink		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:	N/A		
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	9



Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 1 – Corridor & Cupboard



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	ct Materials Found	
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	10



Location: Building 1 – Office



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	ct Materials Found	
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	11



Location: Building 1 – Store



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	12



Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 1 – Training Office & Classroom 3



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	Priority Assessment Score:	N/A	
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	13



Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 1 – Cadet Mess, Flight Operations

Room and Cupboard



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	Priority Assessment Score:	N/A	
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	14



Location: Building 1 – Store



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	Priority Assessment Score:	N/A	
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	15



Location: Building 1 – Corridor



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A			
Approx. quantity:	N/A			
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score		
Product type:		N/A		
Extent of Damage:		N/A		
Surface Treatment:		N/A		
Asbestos type:		N/A		
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A		
	Priority Assessment Score:	N/A		
Action:	N/A			
Comments:	N/A			

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	16



Location: Building 1 – External



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	Visual Inspection – No Suspect Materials Found		
Identification:	N/A			
Approx. quantity:	N/A			
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score		
Product type:		N/A		
Extent of Damage:		N/A		
Surface Treatment:		N/A		
Asbestos type:		N/A		
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A		
	Priority Assessment Score:	N/A		
Action:	N/A			
Comments:	N/A			

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	17



Sample No: DK/4

Location: Building 2 – Classroom 5



Material Description:	Panel Behind Heater & Redundant Heater Wall Panels		
Identification:	Sampled – No Asbestos Detected		
Approx. quantity:	2 x Panels		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	18



Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 2 – Classroom 4



Material Description:	Panel Behind Heater & Redunda	nt Heater Wall Panel	
Identification:	As Sample DK/4 – No Asb	estos Detected	
Approx. quantity:	2 x Panels		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	Action: N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	19



Sample No: DK/5

Location: Building 2 – Parade Hall



Material Description:	Panel Behind Heater & Redunda	nt Heater Wall Panels	
Identification:	Sampled – No Asbesto	os Detected	
Approx. quantity:	6 x Panels		
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score	
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	N/A		
Action:	Action: N/A		
Comments:	N/A		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	20



Sample No: N/A

Location: Building 2 – Lobby & Store



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	ct Materials Found
Identification:	N/A	
Approx. quantity:	N/A	
Accessibility	N/A	Assessment Score
Product type:		N/A
Extent of Damage:		N/A
Surface Treatment:		N/A
Asbestos type:		N/A
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A
	N/A	
Action:	N/A	
Comments:	N/A	

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	21



Location: Building 2 – External



Material Description:	Visual Inspection – No Suspe	ct Materials Found	
Identification:	N/A		
Approx. quantity:	N/A		
Accessibility	N/A Assessment So		
Product type:		N/A	
Extent of Damage:		N/A	
Surface Treatment:		N/A	
Asbestos type:		N/A	
	Material Assessment Score:	N/A	
	Priority Assessment Score:		
Action:			
Comments:	No access below metal roof or above plasterboard ceilings		

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	22



Section 6: Survey Objectives

Instructions were received from Sue Morrell of Wessex RFCA to carry out a Management asbestos survey at 2385 Melksham, 33 Lancaster Road, Wiltshire, SN12 6SS in accordance with HSG264 and Armour Analytical's quality procedures.

The survey was carried out on 16th September 2019 by David Kelly with the objective of facilitating a Management report.

This Management survey is characterised as a 'Visual Inspection Sampling and Identification Survey' in HSG264. This type of survey is used to locate and describe, so far as is reasonably practicable, all asbestos containing materials (ACMs) in the building and will by its nature involve the partial destruction of the building's fabric as access is gained to all areas. A full sampling programme is undertaken to identify possible ACMs and estimate the extent of ACMs reported.

The objective of this survey report is to identify, so far as is reasonably practicable, the location, extent, product type and composition of ACMS within the building to enable the building occupants to put management and remedial procedures in place.

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	23



Section 7: Survey Techniques

Each floor, room and area within the scope was systematically inspected for suspect materials thought or known to contain asbestos and where such materials were encountered their location, extent, and composition were recorded.

Where materials of a similar nature exist, to those previously sampled, and a reasoned argument can be made that their composition is likely to be identical further physical sampling was not undertaken.

Photographs were taken of all sample locations, unless otherwise stated.

The analysis of suspect ACMs was undertaken by a UKAS accredited laboratory using the analytical method detailed in *HSG248, Appendix 2 -Asbestos: The analysts' guide for sampling, analysis and clearance procedures.*

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	24



Section 8: Survey Caveat

This report is based upon a management report inspection of an unfamiliar site. During the course of the survey all reasonable efforts were made to identify the physical presence of materials containing asbestos within the building.

A Management Survey is a non-intrusive survey, meaning that access within the fabric of the building is limited. The survey does not include access to the following areas (unless agreed beforehand with the Client and provisions are put in place):

- Within wall or ceiling construction;
- Under fixed flooring;
- Within non-openable boxings;
- Within live electrics, boilers or machinery;
- Where access/visibility is limited in an area due to stored items, furniture or services;
- Areas where there is no safe access to inspect such as floor ducts, lift shafts and loft spaces with no crawlboards;
- High level areas that are too high to safely inspect.
- Within pipework insulation throughout building as this would affect the integrity of services.

Any intrusive works carried out within the building must first be subject to a Refurbishment Asbestos Survey.

This report will be restricted to the areas that fall within the scope of works for project and will not take into account any area's that do not fall within the scope. Any asbestos that is identified within this report will need to be added to the buildings existing information and should not form the basis for a management survey. This survey will not include priority assessments nor will it include a register.

This survey considered the construction of the building, associated fixtures & fittings and associated structures and in no way should it be considered as a ground investigation report.

Services should be disconnected prior to commencement of a Management survey; however, where plant and/or electrical installations are live or appear to be live then these will not be accessed.

This survey report is not a bill of quantities.

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	25



Section 9: Survey Notes

This report has been written with reference to the various Guidance Notes etc, issued, and current at the date of this report and describes circumstances at the site on the date the investigation took place.

Where similar items exist in the building, only one or two samples have been taken to ascertain the material content. It was assumed that similar products were of the same material.

Any person undertaking work within the buildings should be told of the presence of asbestos. This briefing also applies to any other person associated with the site, including staff, subcontractors and others.

The drawings in the report are not to scale and are illustrative only to indicate approximate locations. The descriptions used are for location identification purposes.

All the recommendations described in this report are based upon assumptions made after consideration of the type of material, condition of the material, its location, analysis result and type of use the area is thought to be subjected to. However, statutory authorities or others could require amendments based on local knowledge, change in legislation, change in use or indeed other conditions or criteria.

Samples taken from floor tiles (or similar material) may include a bitumen adhesive as part of the sample. It is known that some proprietary brands of bitumen have an asbestos content and this will be included as an integral part of the bulk sample unless otherwise stated.

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	26



Section 10: Material Assessment Algorithm

Variable	Score	Examples
Installation / Product type	1	Vinyl, 'Bakelite', Cement
	2	Asbestos insulating board, paper, rope
	3	Pipe insulation, spray coating, friable debris
Condition / damage	0	Good
	1	Slight / minor damage
	2	Moderate damage – breakage to surface treatment
	3	Major damage – smashed or exposed material
Surface treatment	0	Non-friable e.g. vinyl
	1	Enclosed insulation, encapsulated AIB
	2	Unsealed AIB, encapsulated insulation
	3	Unsealed insulation or spray coating
Asbestos type	1	Chrysotile
	2	Amosite & other amphiboles
	3	Crocidolite

The scores from each of the four sections are added together to produce a risk score:

Risk score	Risk assessment	
10 or more	High risk	
7 – 9	Medium risk	
<mark>5 - 6</mark>	Low risk	
4 & below	Negligible risk	

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	27



Section 11: Certificates of Bulk Analysis



Our Ref: J173776 FI: 5 Your Ref: 2481 Date: 20/08/2019

ENVIROCHEM

Analytical Laboratories Ltd. 12 The Gardens Broadcut, Fareham Hampshire PO16 8SS



office@envirochem.co.uk

Asbestos Fibre Identification Report

Client:	Armour Analytical Services Ltd Admirals Yard, Station Road, Patchway, Bristol, BS34 6LR
Site Address:	2385 Melksham, 33 Lancaster Road, Wiltshire , SN12 6SS
Sampled By:	Armour Analytical Services Ltd
Date sampled/received:	20th August 2019
Date analysed:	20th August 2019
Analyst/s:	Stefan Kitchener
Analysis Location:	12 The Gardens, Broadcut, Fareham, Hampshire, PO16 8SS

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

Fibre identification was carried out in accordance with the documented 'in-house' methods based on the HSE Guidance Note HSG 248. These employed stereo microscopy, polarized microscopy and dispersion staining techniques.

	-		-	-
00	S 1			
NE		υı	_	10

Sample No.	Sample Ref.	Location	Asbestos Detected	Asbestos Type
DK/1	BS570117	Building 1, WC's x 2 - Toilet cistern	No	
DK/2	BS570118	Building 1, Kitchen - Sink pad	No	
DK/3	BS570119	Building 1, Loft Space - Profiled cement roof	Yes	Chrysotile
DK/4	BS570120	Building 2, Classroom 5 - Panels behind heaters and rechmdant heater panels	No	

and trencists. It to be the same as the date sampled.

nd tone ay for easy ide the pling and identified scope of UKAS a

t, forefree UKAS accorditation does n

SIGNATURE: Ellahian

Authorised signatory

PRINT NAME: Ella Hiron

Reg. No. 2378228 England. Registered Office: Envirochem, 12 The Gardens, Broadcut, Fareham, Hampshire, PO16 855.

Page 1 of 2

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	28





Our Ref: J173776 FI: 5 Your Ref: 2481 Date: 20/08/2019

ENVIROCHEM

Analytical Laboratories Ltd. 12 The Gardens Broadcut, Fareham Hampshire PO16 8SS



Asbestos Fibre Identification Report Armour Analytical Services Ltd

Client:	Armour Analytical Services Ltd Admirals Yard, Station Road, Patchway, Bristol, BS34 6LR
Site Address:	2385 Melksham, 33 Lancaster Road, Wiltshire , SN12 6SS
Sampled By:	Armour Analytical Services Ltd
Date sampled/received:	20th August 2019
Date analysed:	20th August 2019
Analyst/s:	Stefan Kitchener
Analysis Location:	12 The Gardens, Broadcut, Fareham, Hampshire, PO16 8SS
	AND A TRACK AND CONDUCT

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

Fibre identification was carried out in accordance with the documented 'in-house' methods based on the HSE Guidance Note HSG 248. These employed stereo microscopy, polarized microscopy and dispersion staining techniques.

RESULTS

Sample No.	Sample Ref.	Location	Asbestos Detected	Asbestos Type
DK/5		Building 2, Parade Hall - Panels behind heaters and redundant heater panels	No	

, skywatile (white), anthophyllite, antionite and termolite. of by the client the date of receipt is deemed to be the same as the date sampled (for a new scalared using information provided by the client For mappine collected by the client the data conclude laboratory for marping and identification of advector containing materials. In exceeding the scalar data was also been applied by the scalar data was also been used for RED20 does not quantify the sensor of advector present, therefore UKAS sourcellation does not p on , only 1 or 3 Them are sense and identified an advector, there there takes advector in identified in used

SIGNATURE: Ellahian

Authorised signatory

PRINT NAME: Ella Hiron


Reg. No. 2378228 England. Registered Office: Envirochem, 12 The Gardens, Broadcut, Fareham, Hampshire, PO16 855.

Page 2 of 2

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	29



Section 12: Site Plan



Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	30



I the surveyor can confirm that this report is accurate to my findings onsite and this survey has been Content checked by myself.

Checked by: David Kelly

Date: 29/09/2019

I the Admin Manager can confirm that this report is accurate to the paperwork received and this survey has been quality checked by myself.

Checked by: Emma Humphrey

Date: 30.09.2019

Report Compiled By

Armour Analytical Services Ltd, Admirals Yard, Station Road, Bristol, BS34 6LR

Document Name	Author	Issued by	Revision Number	Issue Date	Page No
2019	David Kelly	Armour AS	0	30/09/2019	31